



Session Report

Application of Statistical Techniques

Prof. Mark Jaksa
University of Adelaide, Australia

Overview of Presentation

- Background
 - Modelling Spatial Variability
 - Artificial Neural Networks
- Papers (9)
 - Reliability (3)
 - Spatial variability (5)
 - ANNs (1)
- Presentations at 1:45 – 3:15 pm in the Coolangatta Rooms 1 & 2

Modelling Spatial Variability

Mathematical techniques focus on stochastic methods:

- Regression analysis
 - Random field theory
 - Geostatistics
 - Fractal theory
-
- Regression analysis is too simplistic;
 - Fractal theory is useful but no modelling tools are available.

Random Field Theory (RFT)


- 3D extension of **time series analysis**.
- Applied to geotechnical engineering by **Prof. Eric VanMarcke** (MIT, Princeton) in late 1970s, early 1980s.

Spatial variability is expressed by 3 parameters:

1. **Mean** (average);
2. Variance, **Standard deviation**, Coefficient of variation;
3. **Scale of fluctuation** (uses **autocorrelation function**).


Scale of Fluctuation

- The **scale of fluctuation**, SOF, is a measure of the distance over which soil properties are **highly correlated**.
- **Small** values of SOF imply **rapid** fluctuations about the mean.




Scale of Fluctuation


- The **scale of fluctuation**, SOF, is a measure of the distance over which soil properties are **highly correlated**.
- **Large** values suggest a **slowly** varying property, with respect to the mean.



Scale of Fluctuation – 2D



Small SOF



Large SOF


Geostatistics

- **Geostatistics** was developed to assist in the estimation of changes in **ore grade within a mine** and is largely a result of the work of D. Krige and G. Matheron in the early 1960s.
- **Geostatistics** has been applied to many disciplines including: groundwater hydrology; hydrogeology; surface hydrology; earthquake engineering and seismology; pollution control; geochemical exploration; and **geotechnical engineering**.

Geostatistics


- Geostatistics can be applied to any **natural phenomena** that are **spatially** or **temporally** associated.
- Geostatistics is based on the **regionalised variable**, that is, one that can be represented by random functions, rather than independent random variables (classical approach).
- Makes use of the **semivariogram**.

Geostatistics – Kriging




$$Y_p^* = 0.28 \times 38.7 + 0.21 \times 29.8 + 0.17 \times 34.2 + \dots$$


RFT and Geostatistics – Simulation




SVSlope



Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)




input layer hidden layer output layer



Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

- ANN developed using data.
- Weights between nodes are optimised with successive iterations until the error is minimised.
- Aim: To develop accurate predictions of the output variable(s) from the input variables.

input layer hidden layer output layer



Overview of Presentation

- Background
 - Modelling Spatial Variability
 - Artificial Neural Networks
- Papers (9)
 - **Reliability (3)**
 - Spatial variability
 - ANNs

Foti & Passeri

Reliability of soil porosity estimation from seismic wave velocities

S. Foti & F. Passeri

Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Aim:

- To investigate the **reliability** of **porosity** estimation from shear wave velocities using **error propagation theory**.

Foti & Passeri

$$n = \frac{\rho^s - \sqrt{(\rho^s)^2 - \frac{4(\rho^s - \rho^w)K^w}{V_p^2 - 2\left(\frac{1 - \nu_{sk}}{1 - 2\nu_{sk}}\right)V_s^2}}}{2(\rho^s - \rho^w)}$$

- Porosity, n , is a function of density of the soil solids, ρ^s , and pore water, ρ^w , bulk modulus of pore water, K^w , dilatational and shear wave velocities, V_p and V_s , and the Poisson's ratio of the soil skeleton, ν_{sk} .


Foti & Passeri

- Consider: $n = f(\rho^s, \rho^w, K^w, V_p = d/t_p, V_s = d/t_s, v_{sk})$, where d is the travel distance and t is the travel time.
- Assumed that each variable is normally and randomly distributed and independent.

Foti & Passeri – Case Studies

Presented two case studies:

- Site of **Zelazny Most tailings dam** (Poland);
- A site in the Italian town of **Mirandola**.



Foti & Passeri – Conclusions

- In particular, for cross-hole tests, the **distance** between boreholes is significant.
- **Travel times** have a minor influence.
- The effect of **P-waves** is significant.
- The effects of the **velocity of compressional waves** in water and the **Poisson's ratio of the soil skeleton** also affect the estimate of n .

Huang et al.

Enhanced data interpretation: combining in-situ test data by Bayesian updating

J. Huang, R. Kelly & S.W. Sloan

ARC Centre of Excellence for Geotechnical Science and Engineering, The University of Newcastle, Australia


SMEC, Brisbane, Australia

Aim:

- To apply **Bayesian updating** to include laboratory testing and associated uncertainties to enhance the **accuracy** of **seismic** measurements.


Huang et al.

- Involves measurement of shear wave velocity, V_s , using the seismic DMT and supplemented with laboratory determined preconsolidation pressure data from constant rate of strain oedometer tests.




Huang et al.

- Empirical relationships between the preconsolidation pressure and shear wave velocity are first derived from the two sets of data (V_s and σ'_v).
- Prior distribution of preconsolidation pressures is obtained using a linear trend and kriging.



Huang et al. – Conclusions

- The **uncertainties** of pre-consolidation pressure can be **significantly reduced** by incorporating **shear wave velocity measurements**.
- Whilst a **1D** example is presented, the authors suggest that the technique is relevant to **2D and 3D**.



Styler & Weemees

Quantifying and reducing uncertainty in down-hole shear wave velocities using signal stacking

M.A. Styler & I. Weemees

ConeTec Investigations, Ltd., Richmond, Canada

Aim:

- To quantify the **improvement** in the interpreted shear wave propagation time, in down-hole seismic testing, that can be realized through **signal stacking** of multiple traces.

Styler & Weemees

- Concerns down-hole seismic testing using a **seismic piezocone (SCPTU)**.

The extensive paper demonstrates how to:

- calculate the **noise** in a set of down-hole seismic traces;
- quantify the **SNR** for a trace and for stacked signals; and
- evaluate the **error** in the **propagation time** when comparing two seismic traces.

Styler & Weemees – Conclusions

- SNR **increases** with signal stacking.
- The error in the propagation time **decreases** with higher SNR, and
- The **decrease** in SNR with depth can be countered by **signal stacking**.

Overview of Presentation

- Background
 - Modelling Spatial Variability
 - Artificial Neural Networks
- Papers
 - Reliability
 - **Spatial variability (5)**
 - ANNs

Lehane et al.

Probabilistic assessment of laterally loaded pile performance in sand

B.M. Lehane, F. Glisic & J.P. Doherty


School of Civil, Environmental and Mining Engineering,
The University of Western Australia

Aim:

- To examine the effect of **spatial variability** of the ground on the performance of **laterally loaded piles** using a series of CPTs.


Lehane et al.

- **Pile load testing** carried out at Shenton Park, Perth, WA.
- Stratigraphy: **5 – 7 m siliceous dune sand** overlying weakly cemented limestone.
- 2×225 mm diameter, 3.5 m long CFA piles.




Lehane et al.

- 12 CPTs




Lehane et al.

- Lateral load-displacement relationship predicted using LAP program incorporating the Suryasentana & Lehane (S&L) (2014, 2016) method.
- Good agreement between predicted and measured behaviour.



Lehane et al.

- 50 CPTs randomly generated
- Scale of fluctuation estimated 0.25 – 0.5 m



Lehane et al. – Conclusions

- The **lateral load range** likely to induce a given level of head rotation for a pile in sand is **significantly lower** than the range anticipated from the CPT **q_c variability**.
- The **CPT-based S&L Method** for laterally loaded piles provides **good predictions** for the **lateral response** of a test pile at a medium dense sand site.
- Predictions using the **S&L** and the **API sand methods** have a **low sensitivity** to **randomly generated q_c or ϕ'** profiles that are normally distributed at any given depth.

Krage et al.

Identification of geological depositional variations using CPT-based conditional probability mapping

C.P. Krage, J.T. DeJong & R.W. Boulanger

University of California, Davis, USA


Aim:

- To improve characterization and understanding of **subsurface stratigraphy** at a project site using **transition probability geostatistics** which is conditioned to CPT soundings and combined with **geological information**.

Krage et al.

- The authors use **geostatistics** in two ways to augment site investigations to:
 1. **identify** or estimate the **soil type** at **unknown locations**;
and
 2. **estimate** the **engineering properties** at these unknown spatial locations.

Krage et al. – Integrated Approach




Krage et al. – Transition Probability

- Describes the likelihood of **transitioning** from one category (where categories are user defined; can be soil type or engineering property based) to another over some separation distance.
- The main advantage of this approach is the ability to model **ordered systems** (e.g. geologic facies environments)

Krage et al. – Example Case

- Site for a new 11 m high **embankment dam** with respect to **liquefaction assessment**.
- Geostatistical simulation was performed **conditioned** to CPTs taken along the dam wall alignment.



Krage et al. – Conclusions

- The simulations indicate **liquefaction** is expected to be most prevalent **at shallow depths**:
 - 1-2 m deep on the west side
 - to 4-6 m deep on the east side.
- **Liquefaction** is also expected at a **larger depths**.

Parida et al.

Stochastic waveform inversion for probabilistic geotechnical site characterization

S.S. Parida, K. Sett & P. Singla

University at Buffalo, New York, USA

Aim:

- To develop a **stochastic inverse analysis** methodology to estimate probabilistically **Young's modulus** from geophysical test measurements by accounting for **uncertainties** from spatial variability, measurement errors and limited data.


Parida et al. – Methodology

- Spectral analysis of surface waves (SASW)
- Monte Carlo simulation
- Uses finite element method with the stochastic collocation approach to probabilistically solve the forward problem for SASW.



Parida et al. – Virtual Site

- Used **RFT** to simulate ground profile.
- Excited the ground using a chirp signal.



Parida et al. – Conclusions

- The amount of information gained **decreases with depth** implying that the **sensors towards the bottom** contribute **modestly** to the inverse estimation process.
- The developed methodology is **mathematically rigorous** and **computationally efficient**, and is general enough to be extended widely.

Wierzbicki et al.

3D mapping of organic layers by means of CPTU and statistical data analysis

J. Wierzbicki, A. Smaga, K. Stefaniak & W. Wołyński

Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

Poznań University of Life Sciences, Poland

Aim:

- To examine selected methods of **statistical data analysis** to determine the **spatial extent** of **organic** soil layers using CPTU data.

Wierzbicki et al.

- Geotechnical characterisation undertaken at a site located 50 km from **Poznań, Poland**.
- Ground at the study area consists of **glacial clay, layered sands and gravels, silts and organic soils**.
- CPTU data were subjected to **clustering analysis** using the **k-means method**.
- **Inverse distance weighting (IDW)** method was used to develop 2D and 3D models.

Wierzbicki et al. – Conclusions

- Only simultaneous use of all available data allowed the detailed identification of the organic soil layer.
- The full 3D IDW model yielded unsatisfactory results.
- Future considerations:
 - Explore geostatistics (i.e. kriging).

Zou et al.

Assessment of ground improvement on silt based on spatial variability analysis of CPTU data

H.F. Zou, G.J. Cai, S.Y. Liu, J. Lin, T.V. Bheemasetti & A.J. Puppala

Southeast University, Nanjing, China

University of Texas at Arlington, Texas, USA

Aim:

- To examine the difference in **spatial variability characteristics** of silt **before and after compaction**.

Zou et al.

- A **silt** site located in the Jiangsu province, China, was improved using a **new deep resonance compaction** technique to increase the **liquefaction resistance** of the silt.
- CPTUs were performed **before** and **after** ground improvement to assess the **efficacy** of the technique.
- The study undertakes **spatial variability analyses** on the before and after CPTU data.

Zou et al.

- RFT was used to examine cone tip resistance.
- The mean, COV and SOF_v were examined prior to and after compaction.

Zou et al. – Conclusions

The results showed that:

- both **mean** and the **SOF_v decreased** immediately after compaction, but gradually increased with the strength and density recovery;
- **COV** consistently **decreased** after the compaction.

Overview of Presentation

- Background
 - Modelling Spatial Variability
 - Artificial Neural Networks
- Papers
 - Reliability
 - Spatial variability
 - **ANNs (1)**

Sastre et al.



Automatic methodology to predict grain size class from dynamic penetration test using neural networks

C. Sastre, M. Benz, R. Gourvès, P. Breul & C. Bacconnet
Sol Solution Géotechnique Réseaux, France
Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France

Aim:

- To develop an ANN to predict grain size class from Panda dynamic cone penetration test data.

Sastre et al. – Panda



Sastre et al. – Data

- The ANN model was developed using a database consisting of **218 Panda2 penetrograms** incorporating:
 - **149 tests** performed in a laboratory-based **calibration chamber**, and
 - **69 in situ tests** carried out at various locations in **France**.

Sastre et al. – ANN Model Development

- Inputs: 26 (initially), reduced to 17:

1. q_d mean	10. q_d Shannon entropy
2. q_d median	11. q_d logarithm entropy range
3. q_d standard deviation	12. q_d skewness
4. q_d coefficient of variation	13. q_d slope changes
5. q_d variance	14. q_d waveform
6. q_d range	15. linear coeff. of linear trend
7. q_d interquartile range	16. independent coeff. of linear trend
8. q_d skewness	17. maximum spectral power
9. q_d kurtosis	

Sastre et al. – ANN Model Development

- Inputs: 26 (initially), reduce further?

1. q_d mean	10. q_d Shannon entropy
2. q_d median	11. q_d logarithm entropy range
3. q_d standard deviation	12. q_d skewness
4. q_d coefficient of variation	13. q_d slope changes
5. q_d variance	14. q_d waveform
6. q_d range	15. linear coeff. of linear trend
7. q_d interquartile range	16. independent coeff. of linear trend
8. q_d skewness	17. maximum spectral power
9. q_d kurtosis	

Sastre et al. – Optimal ANN Model

- Inputs: 17
- Hidden layers: 1
- Hidden layer nodes: 12
- Outputs: 1

Sastre et al. – Conclusions

- ANN Model performed well, with between 94% – 97% prediction accuracy.
- Future considerations:
 - Refine ANN model input parameters.
 - How will it be deployed?
 - Parsimonious model may lead to an equation.

Reminder

- Presentations at 1:45 – 3:15 pm in the Coolangatta Rooms 1 & 2